L B 212-93

946

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1879 - 80

191

(Mund)

File No. 23-79

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Main Part B for March 94

SUBJECT.

Introduction of Regular Police Charges in place of the Seringapatam and Ganjam Municipal Police.

Indexed 2/8/79.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 25-1 19

To

M Narrain Rao Esq
Asst Commr
Dewans Office

My dear Sir:

I submit herewith a Statement shewing the Receipts and Expenditure of the Municipalities of Cocapet, Chennapatna and Maddur actuals for 1884/5, 1885/86 and 1886/87 and Estimates for 1887/8 and 1888/89.

Besides the outtrel Head Quarter Municipalities and the town of Seringapatam, no other Municipality is allowed the House and Mohtarfa Taxes in full: From the correspondence of 1870/71 sub'd herewith (Three originals. This Office copies and two copies of originals obtained from the Office of the Comptroller) it will be seen that the grant of the Mohtarfa in full to the town of Seringapatam was made in 1870/71 as a special case on condition of its defraying the entire cost of its Police as then revised. In Pro of the late Commr No 1927 / 133 dt 19th June 1880 the amount payable by this Municipality on

PTO

account

Sanction to relay. Secretary
Appearing to produce it.
Sd. 18/3/24

Sir
Draft submitted for approval

One draft for approval

These two files, one about
H.H. and the other about
Srirangapatam being relieved.
Police charges were received
tied together, with the Dewan's
order recorded only on the upper
or the Chief's file. I believe the
Dewan intended to relieve the
Srirangapatam Munsif also from
the police charges. May order be
issued accordingly? Or has the
Srirangapatam file to be submitted
to Dewan again?

Submitted

15-3-24

1880

From

The Deputy Comr
Mysore

To

The Secretary to C C
Police Dept

Dated 11 May 80
Despatched | Secretariat
Received |

Enclosures No

General No 111
No

Suggests the abolition of the Seringapatam & Ganjam Municipal Police & recommends that duties be performed by Regular Police the Municipality paying a certain sum for the same annually.

Submitted

The proposals include among other things the employment of 12 peons of the Municipal Police instead of 9 as at present & consequent

Expense on that acct. If the Govt supply means to withdraw a like number from Nisenshe & Omr this is unobjectionable he can be told however that C.C cannot sanction an increase of that sanctioned viz 9 Dist Police. The arrangement is much better than the present & will give the Municipality greater efficiency, at less cost.

14/5

Police 1st 17/5

4

No. 111

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 1st May 1880.
Disp.d

8/5/80
F.A.F

To,

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of Mysore
Police Department

Sir,

I have the honor to submit that previous to the receipt of Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1664-69 of 31st July last the re-arrangement of the Police of Seringapatam and Ganjam had engaged my attention. I am satisfied that economy and efficiency will result from doing away with the Municipal Police as a separate body and only debiting the Municipal Funds with a fixed sum annually to be credited to the District Police Budget, viz: 2500 Rupees.

2. The present force in the Towns is as follows:-

District Police	Municipal Police	Barr
1 Inspector	"	1 Havildar
1 Jemadar	1	1 Naigue
2 Duffadars	4	6 Privates
9 Peons	32	

3. The present Taluk Lock-up and District Police Station are at the back of

the Taluk Cutcherry shut out from the possibility of observation or usefulness and may be handed over to the Amildar who is short of accommodation.

4. The Seringapatam Municipal Police Station and Lock-up is a good double storied building in a central position in the Town. The District Police Inspector holds his office in the upper story. I propose to make this, with some slight alterations, the Head Station both for Taluk and Town.

5 Ganjam Station requires no alterations in itself or in its position and will remain as a Sub Station.

6 The Barr Guard suffices for the protection of the Treasury, but for further protection at night and for night patrols in that part of the Fort and Town, a party of 1 Duffadar and 8 Peons from Head Station will be detailed from sunset to sunrise.

7. Both District and Municipal Peons at present guard Paschimvahini and Kavayur-places situated considerably outside Municipal limits; hereafter it will

will be solely District Police duty

8. For town duties 1 Daffadars and 20 Peons should suffice, while the District force at Head Station would be Insp. Jem. Duffr Peons 1 1 2 12 or in all excluding Inspector Jem. Duffr Peons 1 - 3 - 30 against Jr. Duff Peons 2, 6. 41 or a saving of Jr D. Peons 1. 0. 11, for which the Municipality may get credit

9 By the above arrangement the amalgamation of the forces is complete one Station, one Lock-up separate Registers and divided Control is done away with, while with a reduction of strength and cost efficiency will be gained The Amildar (President of the Municipality) and Taluk Inspector think the change will work better than the present arrangement and are desirous it should be given effect to.

10. I submit statements A and B shewing present and proposed stations establishments and cost there of exhibiting a net saving of 92 Rs per mensem or 1104 per annum. The clothing, arming and cost of Registers of the separate Municipal force has averaged 312 per annum, say in future 300 per annum

The Municipal Funds should therefore, I propose, pay to the Police Budget Rs 2556 per annum for Police services which have hitherto cost the Municipality Rs 3672 per annum.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt Servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

A

Statement of guards, Police Establishment and cost present and proposed of Serungapatam and Ganjam.

District Police

Present	Rs	A	P	Proposed	Rs	A	P	Remarks
1 Jemadar	22	"	"	1 Jemadar	22	"	"	Excluding
2 Duffadars @ 12 and 10	22	"	"	2 Duffadars @ 12 & 10	22	"	"	Taluk
9 Peons, 4 @ 7 and 5 @ 6	63	"	"	12 Peons 6 @ 7 & 6 @ 6 each	78	"	"	Inspector.
Contingencies	2	1	"	Contingencies	2	"	"	
Total	109	1	"	Total	124	"	"	

Municipal Police

Jemadar	26	"	"	Jemadar	"	"	"	
2 Duffadars @ 12 & 10 each	22	"	"	2 Duffadars @ 12 & 10	22	"	"	22
2 Lance do @ 9 each	18	"	"	2 Lance do @ 9 each	18	"	"	18
2 Peons 16 @ 7 & 16 @ 6	208	"	"	$2\frac{3}{20}$ Peons 16 @ 7 & 16 @ 6 each	130	"	"	150
Contingencies	6	"	"	Contingencies	3	"	"	3
Total	280	"	"	Total	173	"	"	193 12
								2316 300 2616

Barr Treasury Guards

1 Havildar	12	"	"	
1 Naigue	9	"	"	Ditto
6 Privates @ 7 each	42	"	"	
Total	63	"	"	

Total 63 " "

B. The clothing and arming of the force has cost on an average Rs 3 12 - - - per annum including cost of Repistols and Swords.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Mysore
1st. May 1850

B

Stations present and proposed at Srirangapatam and Ganjam.

Taluk Cutcherry

Present	Proposed
Barr Guard	No change

Srirangapatam

Present	Proposed
District Lockup and Station	Abolished & included in Central Head Station
1 Jemadar 2 Duffadars } 12 9 Peons	Nil
Municipal Lockup & Station	Central Head Station
1 Jemadar 2 Duffadars } Total 23 20 Peons*	1 Jemadar 4 Duffadars } 27 22 Peons
* includes 4 detailed outside Municipal limits and orderlies.	
Ganjam Station	Ganjam Sub-Station
2 Duffadars } 14 12 Peons	2 Duffadars } 12. 10 Peons

Edwd

Dy Commissioner
Mysore District.

1880

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

Dated 24th May 1880.
Despatched 25
Received

Enclosures No

General No 167
No.

His No. 111 of the 1st May 1880, suggesting the abolition of the Seringapatam and Ganjam Municipal Police and recommending the duties being performed by Regular Police, the Municipality paying a certain sum for the same annually.

In reply, observes that the proposals include among other things the employment of 12 P.cons of the district Police instead of 9 within Municipal limits and consequently a greater expense on that account 2. of the Deputy Comr. simply means to withdraw a like number from elsewhere outside, this

J 76 General, (Serial No. 29.) 1208

No 446

1880

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner
Police ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 31st May

Despatched 2 June June 1880

Received 5th Inst

Enclosures None

General. No. 141 / No. } 188 —8 .

His No. 169/16 Dated 24th Instant

In reply has the honor to state that, under the proposed arrangement, though the numbers were told off according to the presumable amount of Municipal and District work to be performed, as there will be hereafter in virtually only one Force which will take all duties in common, none will have to be withdrawn

The D.C. proposes to raise the contribution to the hard-worked Police by the Seringapatam Municipality from 2556 to 2776, or by R220 annually. Why this sum has been fixed it does not appear, it is as he says about what 3 constables cost but it is not exactly that of the work can be done as he has already, in his letter No 111 of 15th May 1880, shewn without extra cost to the District Police there appears no reason why the contribution should be increased at all

The D.C. is therefore authorized to abolish the Seringapatam Municipal police & the Entertainment to the Mysore Dist Police the following numbers by the Police force of the District now permanently increased by:—

2 Duffadars at 12 & 10
2 Lance do at 9
20 peons at 7
10 do " at 6

The present number

from elsewhere owing to the total number to be located at Head Quarters being less than the present Complement.

2 As the arrangement is permitted to stand good, if the proposed annual payment by the Municipality be increased to Rupees 2776, that is, by Rup 220 about equal to the pay of 3 Constables, the appare increase to the District Police force will not appear.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

of the M. Police will be drafted into the Reg. police of the Dist no expenditure can be allowed in the direction of compensation pensions or gratuities for loss of appointments

Instead of paying for the upkeep of the Police force the Seringapatam Municipality will contribute annually to Provincial funds a sum of Rs 2536 in return for the force to be maintained by the Mysore Dist in the Seringapatam municipality. This sum is liable to revision hereafter if circumstances shld require that a larger force than is assigned at present must be maintained in the town or that the members of it shld be more highly paid, but the time for further general revision is fixed

order on the subject the above

H.J.C 7/6

1880

Government of Mysore

General Department

Judicial

To

The Dy Commr Mysore Dt

" Dy Accountant General

" Secy: to Chief Commr Revl Dept

" —— do —— Police ——

Dated 19 June

Despatched

Received

No. 1927

J 33

Section Mercl

File No 23-79

Serial No 4

Regarding the Seringapatam and Ganjam Police.—

Proc of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore
in the General Department.

(Judicial)
dated June 16 80.

~~Read~~

Letter No. 111 dated 1st May 1880,
from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore
District, Police Department.

Docket No 169/16 dated 24th
May 1880, to the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Docket No. 141 dated 31st
May 1880 from the Deputy Commr
Mysore District.

In extenso

IE 1927 J 38

Dated Bangalore, 19th June 1880.

Order:—

In the first of the letters
above cited, the Deputy Commr
of Mysore proposed to abolish
the Police Force maintained
by the Srirangapatam Municipality
in the towns of Srirangapatam
and Ganjam, and recommended
that the work hitherto performed
by the Municipal Police be

done in future by the District Police, and that in consideration thereof, the Police Budget of the Mysore District be credited annually with the sum of Rupees 2056 from the Municipality. The proposals included, amongst other things, the employment of 12 peons of the District Police in the place of 9 (the original number) within Municipal limits, and on an enquiry being made by this office as to this the cause of the increase in the numbers of the Distt Police, the Deputy Commissioner stated that if the proposed contribution from the Municipality were raised to Rs 2776 or by Rs 220, the apparent increase in the Dt Police would

not appear.

2. It is not clear why the Deputy Commissioner proposes to increase the contribution by Rupees 220 which is, as he says, about the pay of 3 peons. A S.I., as reported by the Deputy Commissioner in his No 111 dated 1st May 1880, the work of the Municipality can be done without any extra charge on the District Police Budget, there appears no reason why the contribution should be increased at all. The proposed increase of Rupees 220 to the contribution first proposed cannot therefore be allowed.

The Deputy Commissioner has calculated the proposed contribution as follows:—

nor is it apparent how the contribution of Rs556 originally proposed was arrived at.

3. As far as can be understood the D? Comr has included in his estimate of the force required for the town three peons in the list of District Police but has included a sum nearly equal to their pay in the Contribution to be levied from the Municipality. It will be more convenient to shew the requisite number of police as is done in the following paragraph

Proposed Establishment to be
added to the District Police
for doing Municipal work — Rs 170 per mensem or Rs 2040 per annum

~~Pay of three peons added to~~
~~the District Police (cause not explained)~~ - 15 — Rs 180 "

Cost of Arming & Clothing — 300 "

Contingencies — 3 — 36 "

Total — Rs 2556 "

~~The pay of the three~~ additional peons
above referred to (two at Rs 7 and
one at Rupees 6 per mensem) is
Rupees 20 per mensem, not Rs 15
as would appear from Statement
A annexed to the Deputy Comm^rs
letter of 1st May last. Hence
~~the charge on the cost to the~~ the District Police
Budget for undertaking Municipal
Police duties will be Rs 2616,
not 2556. As, however, ~~the three~~
peons above referred to have
been disallowed in para 2
above, the proper contribution
to be made by the Municipality

will be Rupees 2376 or Rs 2400 in round figures.

4. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to abolish the Srirangapatam Municipal Police, and to entertain in the Mysore District Police, the following numbers, by which the Police Force of the District is now permanently increased, viz:—

2 Duffedars 1 at Rs 12 & 1 at Rs 10 per annum	}	264 per annum
2 Lance Duffedars at 9 Rs Each		216 "
12 peons at Rs 7 each		1008 "
18 do at Rs 6 each		792 "
total		2280

5. The present members of the Municipal Police will be drafted into the Regular Police of the District, and no expenditure can be allowed by way of compensation pensions or gratuities for loss of appointments.

6. Instead of paying for the upkeep of its Police force the Seringapatam Municipality will contribute annually to Provincial Funds a sum of Rs 2400 2616* in return for the Force to be maintained by Govt in the Municipality. This sum is liable to revision hereafter if circumstances should require that a larger force than is at present must be maintained in the town, or that the members of it should be more highly paid, but until the issue of a further General order on the subject, the above annual contribution is fixed.

90

* Pay of force 2280
Clothing & equipment 300
Contingencies 36
Rs 2616

print

Secretary

To The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt
" Dy Accts General
" Secretary to the Chief Commr
Rev Dept
Police Dept
for information

Ltr 1876/00

G R. S. X-10]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT

Requisition for Papers

Spare Copy

Section Judicial

A G.O. No. 1927
J 33

B

Filed 19/6/1880

Deposit

File No.

Serial No.

L. F.

To be put up with ~~Diary~~ No 212 of 1893

Signature B. B. Sivaramma

Branch Section Spl. Estt.

Date 28/8/93,

Wanted Cable, 2/8/93

GRSM. 634

No. 3903 / 142 Dt. 4-7-91 / 7



Order

The attention of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, is drawn to Subject No. 227 (Relief to the Seringapatam Municipality of Town Police charges) brought up at the meeting of the Dasara Representative Assembly held in October last, and with reference to the Dewan's reply recorded in the Proceedings on this Subject and on similar representation, (Subject No. 3.) brought up from the Kadur District, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit a report with a statement furnishing the average of receipts and expenditure of the — Seringapatam —

Municipality under the several heads of account during the past 5 years

1891-92

Chief Secretary

To The Dy. Commissioner, Mysore District

No 3903/42 A

4 July 1891

Drawing list attd. to subject

No 227 of the Dasara Rep Assembly of 1890 — Relief to the Seringapatam Municipality of Imm Police Charges — calling on him to submit a report with a statement furnishing average of Receipts & Expenditure of the said Municipality under the several heads of account during the past 5 years

Leg Ds 427.
Subj. cited

(General Serial No. 30).

1891.

From

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 18th July

Despatched 20"

Received

Enclosures No. 5

General No. 343
No.

Dear Mr to M Dewan for orders.—

In all municipalities other than HQ Dr & Municipalities, Govt bear the Police charges, but they get ½ motorpha.— In this Municipality alone, the Police charges are borne by the Municipality, but they also get the whole motorpha.— The difference between the Govt monthly of motorpha transferred to the Municipality, & the Police charges is above Rs 300 against the Municipality.

Shivanjapatam being an important holy resort by great men of all parts of India, I recommend the transfer of the Police to Govt & thus enable the Municipality to bear more pardon—

25/7/91 Ag 22-7-91

Recommending that the Seringapatam Municipality may be relieved of the town Police charges

Local Board
21/7/91

No. 343.

Deputy Commissioners Office,
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 18/20 July 1891

From,

D Nanacne Row Esqure
Offg Dep Commissioner

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to G O No 3903/142 dated 4/7 instant, drawing attention to subject No 227 brought up at the meeting of the Dassara Representative Assembly held in October last, (Relief to the Serungapatam Municipality of the Town Police charges), I have the honor to state that I have, as Sub Division Officer submitted a detailed report on the subject, copy of which is enclosed for reference 160 dt 6-8-90

2 It is my opinion that the Serungapatam Municipality will never be able to show any improvement unless its finances are properly balanced It will be seen from the statements of receipts and expenditure that the annual income is Rs 9,707, and the expenditure including Public Works, is Rs 10,823

3. I have noted in the margin the

Income

average receipts and expenditure (exclusive of the Public works) during the past five years, and you will observe from these figures that permanent expenditure alone comes to Rs 8606.12.9 leaving a small balance of Rs 1,000 and odd for Public works, and this sum is not even sufficient for the upkeep of the Town roads already made The expenditure of the past five years shows that on an average Rs 2,482 in round numbers are absolutely necessary for the annual maintenance of the roads and drains already in existence, and unless this sum is spent the roads etc must go on deteriorating year by year If the Municipality is relieved of the cost of Town Police, which comes to Rs 2616, it will be in a position to keep its roads, drainage in a proper state of repair, and at the same time lay out a sum of Rs 1,000 or so on new works, maintaining the usual opening balance of Rs 25 to 30 per cent of the annual income.

Receipts	Rs	as	p
1 Octroi	3616	..	..
2 Mohalarfa taxes	4675	..	9
3 Miscellaneous	1394	9	1
Total	9685	9	10

Expenditure	Rs	as	p
1 Head Office charges	456	..	..
2 Collection - do	1048	1	4
3. Conservancy	2342	..	..
4 Lighting	350	7	..
5 Police	2616	..	..
6 Charitable Grants Dispensaries	249	14	1
7 Education charges	1080	..	..
8 Miscellaneous	463	13	3
Total	8606	12	9

I

Seringapatam

1st Division – All the streets and lanes the Southern side of the road leading Westward from Kalamanna Gudi to Ganjam Gate of the fort. – (To be Supervised by Mr. Ananda)

2nd Division – All the streets and streets between the above road and the Bazar street. – (By Veerasamy Naidu)

3rd Division – All the streets and streets including lanes between the bazar road and Anche Eppia's street. – (By Mr. Venkatarama Chetty)

4th Division – All the streets and lanes between Anche Eppia's street and Poonia's street (including Poonia's street). – (By Mr. Dasappa Krishnappa).

Ganjam

1st Division – Goombuz road, Bazar street to the end of Poonia's street and all streets and lanes north of the latter. – (By Mr. Doddahutty Chowrunna)

2nd Division – From the Native Christian's street to the old Nazim's house and all streets and lanes north of this line to the boundary of 1st Division (By Mr. Amamullah).

3rd Division – Karrekhatta road, from Kuddaley Thimmia's

No 160
11
Encl no 93

Dated Seringapatam 6 August 1890

30

Sub Division Office
French Rocks

From

The Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No B, dated 10th June last, I have the honor to submit the following further report, anent the Requirements of the Seringapatam Town Municipality

2. The towns of Ganjam and Seringapatam have been divided into four divisions each, as noted in the margin

3. In the town of Ganjam there are at present three Municipal Commissioners. The Board have recommended that Banker Hoossain, the Nazim of the Goombuz, who resides at Ganjam, be elected a Member. I support the recommendation and beg that you will be good enough to submit his name to the Government for publication in the official Gazette

4. The total revenue from both towns is about Rs 9000 a year.

Square to the end and all streets and lanes to the West of it (Byra Hottappa) 4th Division— all streets and lanes east of the Kaveripatta road from the Kuddaly Tammia's Square to the end (Banker Hossain now Recommended).

	No. of houses	Population
Ganjam	1675	6150
Seringapatam	1382	4373
Total	3057	10520

⊙ House tax

Year	Ganjam Rs as ps	Seringapatam Rs as ps
1880/81	2668-8-6	1428-9-6
1881/82	2496-4-0	2271-8-0
1882/83	2752-2-2	1647-1-4
1883/84	3680-12-7	1889-2-4
1884/85	3199-8-1	2171-3-2
1885/86	1441-5-0	2169-8-2
1886/87	3476-15-0	1701-1-0
1887/88	3240-11-9	2003-8-8
1888/89	2426-1-9	1906-9-4
1889/90	2292-15-0	2276-11-8
Total	27675-2-10	19465-0-0

The town of Ganjam always contributes a little more than that of Seringapatam under the head Mohatarfa house-tax, the number of houses in that town being slightly larger than at Seringapatam. The figures given in the margin show the collections under the head House-tax for the past ten years. The towns people of Ganjam complained that more money is spent in Seringapatam, although they contribute towards the revenues of the combined municipality much more than the latter. I find there is some truth in their complaint, and steps will be taken to give no room for further complaints on this subject.

5. It is here necessary to show how the municipality stands with regard to its finances. As I have already said, its revenue from all sources is about Rs 9000/ a year. Its permanent expenditure comes to Rs 8752/ as detailed in the margin, leaving a small balance of Rs 248 for public works.

6. The average annual expenditure on public works in both towns for the past ten years, is in round numbers Rs 2300 per year, and the municipality have managed to scrape up this sum from the balance of previous

* Head Office charges — Rs 516-0-0
Establishment — 696-0-0
Collection establishment — 336-0-0
Conservancy establishment — 2348-0-0
Police — 2616-0-0
Hospitals — 500-0-0
Dispensary — 140-0-0
Vaccination — 120-0-0
Education — 80-0-0
Total 8752-0-0

years, which seems to have been large in the first few years of the Constitution of the Municipality. The opening balance of the current year is only Rs. 5500/, and the proposed expenditure on public works is Rs. 3750. In the course of the next two or three years the Board will find itself in very straitened circumstances, and it will hardly be able to maintain roads, drains &c which have already been made and more so to undertake new works. In fact the institution must collapse, unless the Government lend it a helping hand.

7. With all the money already spent, the towns are in a deplorable condition, so far as roads and drains are concerned. The conservancy establishment is insufficient and it is absolutely necessary to increase its strength. Roads and drains costing about Rs. 22,000/; are necessary to bring the towns to a state of decency. To meet all this expenditure, the annual revenue is quite unequal

8. I need hardly say that these towns cannot be treated like other minor towns in the Province. Their historical importance attract to

th people from all parts of
India and other countries. Mor
the are considered to be un-

In the interests of rate-
payers to maintain the old
prestige of the towns, it is necessary
to keep in perfect order.

9. Th an idea of applying for
a loan the Government and
the Board to discuss the
question. have decided upon
not to a for it, on the ground
that they h no means of
liquidatin the debt if contracted,
and I think are right.

10. Under circumstances, the
Board c but solicit
and from the ent, and I
would suggest it may be entered
Relieved of the en of bearing
the Cost of the Police, which
Comes to Rs 2616 amount.

11. This item to nearly a
third of the revenue it is hardly
necessary for to say, that the
Municipality is poor to main-
-tain such a Police esta-
-blishment. I therefore beg
that you will me sir
asking the relief
the Municip bearing this charge

them, people from all parts of India and other countries. Moreover the towns are considered to be ~~to~~ unhealthy. In the interests of rate payers and to maintain the old prestige of the towns, it is necessary to keep them in perfect order.

9. I had an idea of applying for a loan from the Government and suggested to the Board to discuss the question. They have decided upon not to apply for it, on the ground that they have no means of liquidating the debt, if contracted; and I think they are right.

10. Under these circumstances the Board cannot help but solicit aid from the Government, and I would suggest that it may be entirely relieved of the burden of bearing the cost of the Town Pl.

35

Comes to 2616 per

annum

11 This item comes to nearly a third of the revenue, and it is hardly necessary for me to say, that the Municipality is too poor to maintain such a costly Police establishment I would therefore beg that you will support me in asking the Government to release the Municipality from bearing this charge.

356

I recommend this course, taking into consideration the exceptional circumstances of the towns in question and I have no doubt that you agree with me in this respect.

12. I now come to the details. The expenditure on public works for the past ten years in the towns of Ganjam and Serungapatam is as noted in the margin. It will be seen from these figures, that the average annual expenditure in Serungapatam was Rs 1427/ whilst in Ganjam, it was only Rs 872 For the current years Rs 3750, have been provided in the Budget for public works, of which Rs 1118 have already been sanctioned for works in the Serungapatam, town. The Board has been advised to spend the remainder in Ganjam for urgent works.

13. The Board have recommended an increase of ten sweepers at a cost of Rs 480 per year, to strengthen the Conservancy establishment. There is no doubt that this establishment is absolutely necessary. At present 12 sweepers are employed in each of the towns and they c

Expenditure on public works

year	Serungapatam	Ganjam
1880/81	1221-13-10	2481-1-6
1881/82	753-8-4	712-8-0
1882/83	375-10-6	5-8-5
1883/84	3208-3-5	198-0-0
1884/85	643-12-0	700-0-0
1885/86	1390-13-4	1723-13-10
1886/87	2637-0-6	635-0-0
1887/88	925-2-0	488-8-0
1888/89	838-8-0	0-0-0
1889/90	2305-5-10	1783-8-5
Total	14279-13-9	8728-0-2

quite unequal to keep them in proper state of cleanliness. I would therefore recommend the entertainment of the additional sweepers at once. A supplemental Budget estimate of expenditure is herewith submitted.

14. In all, 48 lights exclusive of the five sanctioned this year, are maintained in both towns. Of these 30 in Srirangapatam and 18 in Ganjam. This is very unfair proportion. out of thirty allowed to Srirangapatam, eight are kept in front of the Ranganathaswamy temple. I learn on enquiry that lamp-posts were erected from temple funds and the Municipality bears the cost of oil &c. These lights are intended solely for lighting the extensive plain in front of the temple, and I think it is fair that they should be maintained from the temple funds. I have therefore issued orders that their cost be charged to temple suggested to the Municipal to give these 8 lights to the Ganjam and the five sanctioned this year to Srirangapa

quite unequal to keep them in proper state
of cleanliness I would therefore re-
commend the entertainment of two
additional sweepers at once A
supplemental Budget estimate of
expenditure is herewith submitted
14. In all, 48 lights excluding the
five sanctioned this year are main-
tained in both towns of these 30 in
Seringapatam and 18, in Ganjam.
There is very unfair proportion. Out
of thirty allowed to Seringapatam,
eight are kept in front of the Ganjam
-tha Swamy temple. I learn on enquiry
that lamp posts were erected from
temple funds and the municipality
bears the cost of oil &c These lights
are intended solely for lighting
the extensive plains in front of the
temple, and I think it is fair that
that they should be maintained
from the temple funds I have
therefore issued orders that
their cost should be charged to
temple funds, and suggested to
the municipal Board to give these
8 lights to the Ganjam Town, and
the five sanctioned this year to
Seringapatam

under this arrangement the former will have 26 lights and the latter 27.

15. The Municipal Board have submitted the enclosed 92 special estimates for new works and repairs and the totals thereof come to Rs. 22000/. Of course, it is impossible under the present financial difficulties, to carry out any one of them. As stated in para 6, the balance on hand is only Rs. 5500/. Works costing about Rs. 3700/ have already been proposed to be executed in the current year. Thus it is not possible to undertake any one of the works now proposed. If the Government were to undertake the maintenance of the Police establishment, the Municipality will be enabled to gradually bring the towns to proper state. I therefore once more urge that my recommendation may be submitted for favorable consideration of the Government.

I have &c

(Signed) D. Vaucaud Row
Sub on Officer
French Roads

"Copy"
D. Vaucaud Row
Offg Commissioner
Pondicherry

Supplemental Budget estimates of additional sweepers proposed for the Seringapatam Municipality for the year 1890-91.—

13

Details	Sanctioned estimate for 1889-90		Estimates for the year 1890-91		Estimate for the additional sweepers for 1890-91		Total of estimate this Head 1890-91		Remarks
	No.	R	No.	R	No.	R	No.	R	
Sweepers at Rs 6 each per mensem and those now at Rs 4 each per mensem	20	120 1440	20	120 1440	10	40	480 ×	30	1920
Scavengers at 7 Rs 8 per mensem	4	28 336	4	2 336	"	"	"	4	336
								34	2256

× This is in addition to the amount provided for under the Head IV Conservancy (a) establishment in the current year's already submitted. The additional number of sweepers now proposed is necessary for the better sanitation of the town; the present number being quite inadequate

Dated 6th August 1890

(Signed) D. Nanjunda Row
Sub division Officer
French Rocks

"Confirmed"
Commissioner

No 202
En 2

Sub Division Office
French Rocks
Camp Seringapatam
Dated 15th July 1891

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

In submitting herewith the statements of Receipts and Expenditure of the Seringapatam Municipality, for the past 5 years called for in your No 249 of the 10th Instant, I have the honor to Report that the average expenditure exceeds the average income by Rs 1116-12-9 as shewn in the margin

Expenditure Rs 10823-13-10
Income Rs 9707-1-1
Difference Rs 1116-12-9

2. This difference was being met out of the old standing balance which has now dwindled down to Rs 3396-7-1

3 It may not be out of place to state here that I fully concur with the views of my predecessor in thinking that unless the Municipality is relieved of the Police charges which amount to nearly one third of the annual receipts, there is no hope of improving the Sanitary Condition of the fort of Seringapatam and the town of Ganjam.

Vide this office letter No 160 dated 6th August 1890.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
(Sgd) D Devaraj Urs
Sub Officer
French Rocks

Copy

Mg Dy









Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysor (Local Boards Branch—Municipal),—dated 22nd March 1894

READ —

Letter, dated 18th July 1891 No 343, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District

With reference to Government Order No 3903—142, dated 4th instant drawing attention to Subject No. 227 brought up at the Meeting of the Dasara Representative Assembly held in October last, (Relief to the Seringapatam Municipality of the Town Police charges) I have the honor to state that I have, as Sub Division Officer, submitted a detailed *report on the subject, copy of which is enclosed for reference

* No. 160, dated 6th August 1890

2. It is my opinion that the Seringapatam Municipality will never be able to show any improvement unless its finances are properly balanced It will be seen from the statements of receipts and expenditure, that the annual income is Rs. 9,707, and the expenditure including Public Works, is Rs. 10,823.

3. I have noted in the margin the average receipts and expenditure (exclusive of the Public Works) during the past five years, and you will observe from these figures that permanent expenditure alone comes to Rs 8,606—12—9, leaving a small balance of Rs 1,000 and odd for Public Works, and this sum is not even sufficient for the up-keep of the Town roads already made The expenditure of the past five years shows that on an average Rs 2,482 in round numbers are absolutely necessary for the annual maintenance of the roads and drains already in existence, and unless this sum is spent, the roads, &c, must go on deteriorating year by year If the Municipality is relieved of the cost of Town Police, which comes to Rs. 2,616, it will be in a position to keep its roads, drains, &c, in a proper state of repair and at the same time lay out a sum of Rs. 1,000 or so on new works maintaining the usual opening balance of Rs 25 to 30 per cent of the annual income.

RECEIPTS

		Rs	A	P
1	Octroi	3,616	0	0
2.	Mohatarfa taxes	4,675	0	9
3	Miscellaneous	1,394	9	1
	Total	9,685	9	10

EXPENDITURE

		Rs	A	P.
1	Head Office charges	456	0	0
2.	Collection do	1,048	1	4
3	Conservancy	2,342	9	1
4.	Lighting	350	7	0
5	Police	2,616	0	0
6	Charitable grants—Dispensaries	249	14	1
7.	Education	1,080	0	0
8	Miscellaneous	463	13	3
	Total	8,606	12	9

4 I would therefore strongly support the prayer of the towns people

5 The Sub-Division Officer, French Rocks, concurs with me in saying that the relief sought for should be granted. A copy of his *letter on the subject is also submitted for reference.

* No. 202, dated 15th July 1891.

No. 15817-9—L F 212-93, DATED, BANGALORE, 22ND MARCH 1894

ORDER THEREON —Under the circumstances explained in the above, Government are pleased to sanction the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner to relieve the Seringapatam Municipality from the cost of the Town Police from 1st July 1894. The Inspector General of Police is requested to include the cost of the Town Police of Seringapatam in the Police Budget from the next Official year.

L ANANTASWAMI RAO,
For *Chief Secretary*.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District
The Comptroller in Mysore
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Exd.—A.N S.

1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH—MUNICIPAL.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 22nd March 1894.

No. $\frac{15817\text{-}9.}{\text{L. F } 212\ 93.}$

Relieving the Seringapatam Municipality from the cost of the Town Police from 1st July 1894.

Mysore Govt. C Press, Bangalore —30-3-94.—120.